

From the Director

The Rt Hon David Cameron MP Prime Minister 10 Downing Street London WC1 1AA

23rd July 2014

Dear Prime Minister,

The issues about the so-called 'right to be forgotten' raised by the recent European Court judgement involving Google, with its implications for other search engines and accessibility to other journalistic information give us serious cause for concern.

We appreciate that no general "right to be forgotten" exists, as Ministers and the Information Commissioner have confirmed. The Court ruling is only about restricting access to links generated by search engines in response to name searches. But there is a vital principle at stake which we trust that the Information Commissioner - responsible for adjudicating both data protection and freedom of information in the UK - and the government will defend with vigour.

The judgement makes clear that Europeans now have the right to demand that certain online material is obscured in search results and its dissemination via search engines is stopped. For media organisations and journalists, this is akin to being asked - on the basis of the subjective opinions of individuals, rather than any specific Court order - to remove items from an index in newspaper archives. This is something we would only do after careful consideration based on a sound legal and factual basis and hope never to be asked to do more.

We feel sure that neither the Information Commissioner nor the government would wish to see this happen but we seek assurances that any such moves will be firmly resisted and will not be applied in any new data protection legislation coming out of Europe in the future.

We are concerned that the European Court's judgment goes against Article 10 of the European Convention of Human Rights and certainly the intentions of the UK Parliament when it introduced the Human Rights Act.

With regard to data protection legislation, journalistic work has always received special consideration. We are glad to see that the Court's ruling continues this, and does not require news publishers to remove articles when asked to do so by individuals. This principle must be strongly defended or even enhanced. But the Court's ruling is deeply problematic for journalism in general, as it has the effect of limiting the accessibility and dissemination of journalistic work via search engines, where the media company wishes this to be done. This reduces the visibility of the vital work done by journalists to ensure accountability throughout society, which in itself is contrary to the spirit behind Article 10.

President Ian Murray

Executive Director Bob Satchwell

Board of Directors
Neil Benson
Simon Bucks
Paul Connolly
Graham Dudman
Chris Elliott
Robin Esser
Jonathan Grun
Barrie Jones
Donald Martin
Sue Ryan
Moira Sleight
Nick Turner
Fran Unsworth
Doug Wills

Past Presidents
Jonathan Grun
Fran Unsworth
Robin Esser
Donald Martin
Nigel Pickover
Simon Bucks
Paul Horrocks
Charles McGhee
Keith Sutton
Neil Benson
Jonathan Grun
Liz Page
Edmund Curran

Fellows Ben Bradlee Andrew Colman Edmund Curran Geoff Elliott Robin Esser Phil Harding Bob Pinker Peter Preston Richard Tait

Geoff Elliott

University Centre, Granta Place, Cambridge, CB2 1RU

Telephone: 01223 304080 Fax: 01223 304090 Email: info@societyofeditors.org

www.societyofeditors.org

A company limited by guarantee incorporated in England. Registered No. 304 7323. Vat No. 653 5446 28



From the Director

For this reason, we believe that there should be greater transparency about the actions of search engines to comply with the European Court's ruling. Specifically, we believe there should be no restrictions on the ability of Google or other operators to inform the originator of material when links to that material are removed. Any restrictions would prevent publishers having the opportunity to make their case on freedom of expression grounds thus making the process one-sided.

The Society of Editors has more than 400 members in national, regional and local newspapers, magazines, broadcasting and digital media, journalism education and media law. It campaigns for media freedom, self regulation, the public's right to know and the maintenance of standards in journalism. This letter has the full support of the Society's board of directors which includes senior editors from Sky News and the BBC and and key regional newspapers in England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland. It also has the support of editors of major UK newspapers, including The Times, The Sunday Times, The Sun, The Guardian, The Independent, the Financial Times, the Daily Express, the Daily Mirror, the Sunday Mirror, The Daily Telegraph, and Associated Newspapers as well as ITN.

We would be grateful for your comments about this and your assurances that these principles will be defended.

We would value an early response and we would be more than willing to meet to expand on our concerns.

Yours Sincerely,

Bob Satchwell Executive Director

CC: Rt Hon Sajid Javid, Secretary of State for Culture, Media and Sport Simon Hughes MP, Minister of State for Justice Civil Liberties John Whittingdale MP, Chairman, Culture, Media and Sport Select Committee Christopher Graham, Information Commissioner